

1 DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
Barbara A. Caulfield (bcaulfield@dl.com) SBN 108999
2 Peter E. Root (proot@dl.com) SBN 142348
1950 University Avenue, Suite 500
3 East Palo Alto, California 94303
Telephone: (650) 845-7000
4 Facsimile: (650) 845-7333

5 DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
1101 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 1100
6 Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 346-8000
7 Facsimile: (202) 346-8102

8 DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
9 New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 259-8000
10 Facsimile: (212) 259-6333

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
12 *Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.*

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 IN RE BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS
17 SYSTEMS, INC. DERIVATIVE
18 LITIGATION

19 This Document Relates to:
20 ALL ACTIONS
21

Case No. C-05-02233 CRB

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER RE: DISMISSAL OF
REMAINING CLAIMS AGAINST
DEFENDANT GREGORY L. REYES
PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT**

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE:
DISMISSAL OF REMAINING CLAIMS AGAINST REYES
CASE NO.: C-05-02233 CRB

1 This Stipulation is made by and between plaintiff Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.
 2 (“Brocade”), through the Special Litigation Committee of its Board of Directors (the “SLC”), and
 3 defendant Gregory L. Reyes (“Reyes”).

4 RECITALS

5 WHEREAS, beginning in June 2005, certain shareholder derivative actions were commenced
 6 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California asserting a variety of claims
 7 arising from Brocade’s historical equity options compensation practices and related matters, which
 8 actions were assigned to this Court and consolidated as *In re Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.*
 9 *Derivative Litigation*, No. 05-cv-2233-CRB (the “Consolidated Federal Derivative Action”);

10 WHEREAS, the SLC, acting on behalf of Brocade, filed a Second Amended Complaint in
 11 the Consolidated Federal Derivative Action on August 1, 2008, asserting claims on behalf of
 12 Brocade against ten defendants, including Reyes;

13 WHEREAS, on October 6, 2008, Reyes and the other nine defendants each filed a motion to
 14 dismiss the Second Amended Complaint;

15 WHEREAS, on December 12, 2008, this Court issued an Order, supplemented by an opinion
 16 issued January 6, 2009, in which the Court dismissed all claims against Reyes with the exception of
 17 the Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, and Eleventh Causes of Action alleging various breaches of
 18 fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment;

19 WHEREAS, Brocade and Reyes entered into a settlement agreement on August 14, 2009 (the
 20 “Settlement Agreement”);

21 WHEREAS, on October 9, 2009, Brocade submitted a copy of the Settlement Agreement to
 22 this Court, and filed a motion for approval of the settlement and entry of a Complete Bar Order
 23 barring contribution claims;

24 WHEREAS, on December 21, 2009, this Court entered an Order Approving Settlement And
 25 Entry Of Complete Bar Order As To Defendant Gregory L. Reyes;

26 WHEREAS, Reyes has made full payment of the settlement consideration that he agreed to
 27 pay in the Settlement Agreement;

1 WHEREAS, the Settlement Agreement provides that Brocade shall move the Court for an
2 order dismissing with prejudice the remaining claims against Reyes in the Consolidated Federal
3 Derivative Action;

4 WHEREAS, the Settlement Agreement further provides that Brocade and Reyes will request
5 the Court to retain continuing and exclusive jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Complete Bar
6 Order and the Settlement Agreement;

7 WHEREAS, Brocade and Reyes request the Court to retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms
8 of their Settlement Agreement and the Complete Bar Order under the authority of *Kokkonen v.*
9 *Guardian Life Insurance Co. of America*, 511 U.S. 375, 381-82 (1994).

10 NOW, THEREFORE, Brocade and Reyes, through their respective undersigned counsel,
11 hereby stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

12 1. All remaining claims against Reyes in the Consolidated Federal Derivative Action,
13 *i.e.*, the Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, and Eleventh Causes of Action of the Second Amended
14 Complaint, shall be and hereby are dismissed with prejudice.

15 2. Brocade and Reyes shall comply with the terms of their Settlement Agreement.

16 3. By consent of Brocade and Reyes, the Court shall retain jurisdiction for purposes of
17 enforcing the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Complete Bar Order.

18
19 Dated: February 1, 2010

DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP

20
21 By: /s/ Peter E. Root

Peter E. Root

22 Attorneys For Plaintiff
23 Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.

24 Dated: February 1, 2010

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

25
26 By: /s/ John H. Hemann

John H. Hemann

27 Attorneys For Defendant Gregory L. Reyes

28 - 2 -

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE:
DISMISSAL OF REMAINING CLAIMS AGAINST REYES
CASE NO.: C-05-02233 CRB

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

I, Peter E. Root, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Dismissal of Remaining Claims Against Defendant Gregory L. Reyes Pursuant to Settlement. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 1st day of February 2010, at East Palo Alto, California.

/s/ Peter E. Root

Peter E. Root

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Charles R. Breyer
United States District Judge